BEHAVIOUR AND MODELLING OF COMPOSITE BEAMS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES - Ductility issues

> RONNY BUDI <u>DHARMA</u> KANG HAI <u>TAN</u>

Scope of Discussion

- Motivation for the research
- Experimental Investigation on Rotational Capacity
- Finite Element Analysis on Rotational Capacity
- Modelling of Moment-Rotational Relationship
- Conclusions and Recommendations

Literature Review of Concrete Properties

Stress-Strain Relationship

Follows EC4:1.2 proposal which is regarded as the lower bound values for different test results of normal strength

concrete



Motivation of research

- A considerable distortion of the cross-section in the highest moment region near to support
- Limits the rotation capacity of beam and the degree of moment redistribution available at the support
- May lead to premature failure
- Has been observed in many fire incidents

 Large scale fire test in UK at Building Research Establishment (Cardington fire test) shows local buckling failure





local buckling

(compression flange and web buckling)









 2004: Fontana and Knobloch proposed a structural model for steel plates in bending and compression at elevated temperatures

Very Limited Research on the Local Buckling and Ductility at Elevated Temperature!

Treatment of Local Buckling

 Current codes at ambient use the concept of cross-section behavioural classes based on ambient temperature

	Limit of W	<mark>idth-to-Thic</mark>	kness Ratio				
Section Description		EC3	:1.1 (CEN, 2	003)			
		Class 1	Class 2	Class 3			
Flange Outstand		9e	10 <i>ɛ</i>	14 <i>ɛ</i>			
Web (neutral axis a	t mid depth)	72ε	83 <i>ɛ</i>	124 <i>ɛ</i>			
		Note: $\varepsilon = \sqrt{2}$	$235/p_{y})$				
Section Description		BS5950:1-2000 (BSI, 2001)					
		Class 1	Class 2	Class 3			
Flange Outstand	Hot Rolled	9e	10 <i>ε</i>	15 <i>ɛ</i>			
	Welded	8ε	9 <i>ɛ</i>	13 <i>ɛ</i>			
				100			
Web (neutral axis a	t mid depth)	80ε	100ε	120e			
Web (neutral axis a	t mid depth)	80 <i>ɛ</i>	100 <i>ε</i>	120 <i>ε</i>			

Shortcomings:

- Independent limitations of flange and web ratios is unreasonable
- The local ductility also depends on other factors other than width-to-thickness ratios
- The sub-division does not correspond to actual behaviour of beams

- Member behavioural classes should be used instead of cross-sectional behavioural classes
- Quantifying ductility by measuring the available inelastic rotation θ_a



- Design codes do not address local buckling at elevated temperature
- BS5950:8 does not even provide any cross-sectional classification at elevated temperature
- EC3:1.2 classifies the cross-section as for ambient temperature design. The only modification is the introduction of reduction factor 0.85

$$\varepsilon = 0.85 \sqrt{\frac{235}{p_v}}$$

- Very brief and sketchy due to lack of research and understanding
- Urgent need for better understanding of local buckling, ductility requirement and section classification at elevated temperature

Experimental Study on Rotational Capacity of Steel Beams

Design of Specimen

Concept of a substitute member



Plastic hinge usually located at an internal support in a continuous beam

>Beam segment between the plastic hinge and adjacent point of inflection represented by each half of the simply supported beam

Details of Steel Specimens

Test No	Size	T	Coupon	J. w	ctt e	d/t.w	Å er *	Mar
1000110.		(°C)	Туре	(mm)	,		/ 22	(kNm)
S1-1	305x165UB54	415	C	650	6.09	34.51	13.15	236.11
S1-2	305x165UB54	615	A	650	6.09	34.51	13.15	102.85
S2-1	305x165UB54	415	В	1725	6.09	34.51	27.30	242.86
S2-2	305x165UB54	615	В	1725	6.09	34.51	27.30	106.10
S3-1	Welded**	25	Т	1725	8.15	34.51	30.06	146.67
S3-2	Welded**	415	Т	605	8.15	34.51	13.45	142.67
S3-3	Welded**	615	Т	605	8.15	34.51	13.45	62.33
S4-1	406x178UB54	415	E	650	8.15	47.42	13.36	410.72
S4-2	406x178UB54	615	E	650	8.15	47.42	13.36	179.43

Half-span length $(L_i) = 1725$ mm



$$\lambda_{LT} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 E}{f}} \sqrt{\frac{M}{M}}$$

** b = 163mm; d = 276mm; $t_f = 10$ mm; $t_w = 8$ mm

>Two temperatures (415°C and 615°C)
>S3-1: ambient temperature test
>S1 and S2: effective length investigation
>S1 and S3: flange slenderness investigation
>S3 and S4: web slenderness investigation

Instrumentation

Thermocouple

20 locations on the steel beams surface and 2 locations measuring gas temperature

LVDT

LVDT 1 - 4 measuring end rotations

LVDT 5 - 6 measuring mid-span deflection

LVDT 7 – 11 measuring in-plane deflection along the beam



Test Set-Up







Results & General Observations

1	Test No.	Size	Τ	c/t_f	dt_{w}	λ_{LT}	$M_{p,T}$	M_{m}	$M_m M_{p,T}$	γ _a	Failure
			(°C)				(kNm)	(kNm)			Modes
	S1-1	305x165UB54	415	6.09	34.51	13.15	236.11	264.70	1.121	3.73*	LB*
	S1-2	305x165UB54	615	б.09	34.51	13.15	102.85	109.62	1.066	2.81*	LB*
	S2-1	305x165UB54	415	6.09	34.51	27.30	242.86	262.55	1.081	1.60	LTB
	S2-2	305x165UB54	615	б.09	34.51	27.30	106.10	112.04	1.056	0.93	LTB
	S3-1	Welded	25	8.15	34.51	30.06	146.67	194.41	1.325	7.57	LB-LTB
+	S3-2	Welded	415	8.15	34.51	13.45	142.67	156.29	1.095	2.28	LB
	S3-3	Welded	615	8.15	34.51	13.45	62.33	68.48	1.099	1.11	LB
	S4-1	406x178UB54	415	8.15	47.42	13.36	410.72	451.26	1.099	0.68	LB
	S4-2	406x178UB54	615	8,15	47.42	13.36	179.43	186.04	1.037	0.30	LB
	Note:	* Estimated bas	ed on :	numei	rical sim	ulation					
		LB : local buckli	.ng								
		LTB: lateral tors	sional	buckl:	ing						
		LB -LTB: local b	oucklin	ng foll	owed by	y lateral	torsiona	l bucklin	g		
											/ / 18

- Results & General Observations
- No local and global buckling took place before the plastic moment capacity was reached – design of specimens is indeed successful!!!
- Beams tested at 615° C deviated from linearity at an earlier stage compared with those tested at 415° C
- After attaining plastic moment capacity, the beam deflection and rotation started to increase rapidly
- No sudden failure
- Two failure modes observed: local buckling of the beam flange and web near to mid-span and global buckling

Results & General Observations



>Local buckling with antisymmetric mode at equidistance from the restrained mid-span

 Web buckling less obvious than flange buckling

Global buckling with lateral movement mostly near mid-span

Due to spread of yielding (different from elastic LTB)

Temperature Effects



>Ambient (S3-1): considerable rotational capacity ($r_a = 7.57$) and maximum moment of 33% above its theoretical value

>415° C (S3-2): momentrotation curve became nonlinear and the rotational capacity reduced to 2.28 even with additional lateral restraints

>615° C (S3-3): rotational capacity further reduced to 1.11

Conservatism of design plastic moment capacity has reduced from up to 30% to a low of 10% as temperature increased to 615°

Flange Slenderness Effects



>S1-1 & S1-2: stockier flanges (6.09) than S3-2 & S3-3 (8.15), hence greater rotational capacity

S1 test series had to be stopped due to excessive deflection

The estimated rotational capacities of S1-1 & S1-2 were 3.73 and 2.81

Rotational capacities of S32 and S3-3 are 2.28 and
1.11

Experimental Investigation on Steel Beams' Rotational Capacity

Web Slenderness Effects



>S4-1 & S4-2 provided less
 rotational capacity than S3-2 &
 S3-3, respectively, because they
 have more slender webs

>S4-2 (615° C) merely reached its plastic moment capacity

>Higher grade of steel (S355)
 used in S4 series may also
 contribute to less ductility

The stockier the web, the greater the restraints it provided against flange buckling, thus enhancing the ductility

Even though flanges are the primary elements of flexural resistance in I-sections, the web slenderness effect is also dominant.

Experimental Investigation on Steel Beams' Rotational Capacity

Effective Length Effects



>Additional lateral restraints are provided for S1 series (effective length becomes 650 mm)

Effective length for S2 series is 1725 mm

 A slight increase in the maximum moment was observed when effective length was reduced

Failure mode changed from global buckling for S2 series to local buckling for S1 series

Ductility of beams is influenced not only by conventional flange and web slenderness parameters, but also by effective length which is one of the factors governing LTB

Design of Specimen

 Similar to steel beams (a simply supported beam subjected to a central point load)



>The composite beam is inverted such that the decking slab is located on the underside of the steel beam and is subjected to tensile force when vertical load applied from the top

>Length of concrete slab is kept at only 2100 mm

>Holorib S350 (0.9 mm thick) re-entrant steel decking

Shear studs were connected to the steel beams and steel decking by through-deck welding

Design of Specimen

After concrete curing, double layer of fire protection material consisted of 25 mm thick vermiculite and 30 mm thick ceramic blanket applied to exposed surface of concrete

Test No.	Structural Steel	Reinforcement	No. of	Stud spacing	L _E	t _c			
			Stud	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)			
C1	305x165UB54	4-T10	8	280	563	130			
C2	305x165UB54	4-T10	4	650	563	130			
C3	305x127UB37	5-T10	10	220	563	130			
C4	254x102UB25	5-T10	6	380	469	120			
Half-span	length $(L_i) =$		1725 mr	n					
Slab size	(length x width) =	=	2100 mr	n x 450 mm					
Reinforce	ement distance to	steel decking =	100 mm						
Anti-crack reinforcement = T8 at 200 mm spacing									
Shear stud connector = 19 mm dia. x 100 mm length									
Note: 4-1	[10 indicates four	bars of type T 1	reinforce	ment with 10 r	nm diat	neter.			

Push-Out Test (Shear Studs)

Average maximum shear strength was 115 kN per connector

Temperature Developments

>Furnace temperature set between 650 and 800° C based on 7 to 10° C/min

>Temp of rebar (100 mm above top flange) and concrete ($h_c = 100$ mm) was very close

>Shear stud temperature was in between the recorded concrete temperature closest to top flange ($h_c = 0$ mm) and $h_c = 50$ mm

Temperature Developments

Thermocouple Location	C1 Test	C2 Test	C3 Test	C4 Test	Average
bottom flange	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
web (50 mm above bottom flange)	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.00	1.01
web (mid-height)	1.02	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00
web (50 mm below top flange)	0.97	0.96	0.93	0.94	0.95
top flange	0.90	0.87	0.83	0.85	0.86
concrete (directly above top flange)	0.65	0.39	0.54	0.61	0.55
concrete (50 mm above top flange)	0.36	0.24	0.33	0.38	0.33
concrete (100 mm above top flange)	0.26	0.17	0.22	0.22	0.22
reinforcement	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.22	0.19
shear stud	0.51	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.44

Average top flange temperature around 0.86 of bottom flange temperature due to the heat sink and shielding effect of concrete slab

>Web temperature varied parabolically between the top and bottom flange temperatures

>Temperature profile of concrete seemed to be parabolic as well

The maximum temperature of the shear stud connector for all beams was 340° C

General Observations

Test	M _{p.T}	M_m	$M_m/M_{p,T}$	θ_p/θ_s	θ_u/θ_s	ra
No.	(kNm)	(kNm)				
C1	133.89	146.05	1.091	1.783	5.544	2.11
C2	155.33	183.79	1.183	2.067	5.879	1.84
C3	177.62	200.70	1.130	1.860	5.306	1.85
C4	105.19	116.01	1.103	1.910	3.829	1.00

>All specimens reached their respective plastic moment capacity at a rotation of 1.78 to 2.07 of their respective elastic rotation

>The maximum plastic moment for all specimens tested at elevated temperatures is around 110% of its theoretical value, except C2 specimen

>C1 specimen has the greatest rotational capacity of 2.11, while C4 specimen has the lowest rotational capacity of only 1.00

General Observations

- >No local or global buckling was seen on FEM before the plastic moment capacity was reached
- >A gradual load reduction occurred without sudden failure
- >Failure mode mainly local buckling near mid-span

General Observations

>Anti-symmetric local buckling of bottom flange where one side of flange curled up while the other side twisted downwards

>Local buckling of web occurred at almost the full depth of the web

>Local buckling failure occurred on only one half of the beam

Key Findings & Discussions

>The main component of composite beam is the primary reinforcement

>Plastic neutral axis located within the top flange, or near to the web-top flange junction

>Degree of shear connection was not reduced even when the beam was heated, since the temperature of shear stud was below 300° C

>An increase in ultimate moment capacity for C2 due to misalignment of beam during test set-up; protection material was skewed to one side

>Effects of flange and web slenderness, effective length, degree of shear connection and reinforcement ratio cannot be quantified from the test results since only four tests had been conducted and the temperature distribution for each test was different

Key Findings & Discussions

Temperature distribution across the section of a composite beam is proposed

>Temperature of bottom half of web assumed constant and equal to bottom flange temperature

>Temperature of upper half of web assumed to vary linearly from T_{bf} to 0.85 T_{bf} at the web-top flange junction

>Temperature of concrete, shear stud and reinforcement assumed to be less than 400° C, 300° C and 200° C

Key Findings & Discussions

Comparisons of failure modes with Cardington test

Comparison With Steel Beam Test

>C1 reached its plastic moment capacity at a much lower rotation

>Ultimate rotation for C1 was much lower

 Moment-rotation response of C1 was less non-linear

Loss of ductility in the composite beam due to increased depth of web in compression caused by shifting of neutral axis towards the top flange

Test	T _{bot. flange}	LE	M _{p.T}	M _m	$M_m/M_{p,T}$	θ_p/θ_e	θ_u/θ_e	r _a
N₀.	(°C)	(mm)	(kNm)	(kNm)				
S1-2	615	650	102.85	109.62	1.066	3.389	13.169*	2.81°
C1	623	563	133.89	146.05	1.091	1.783	5.544	2.11
* estim	ated from num	nerical i	esult					

Finite Element Analysis (Steel)

Overview

- Four node rectangular thick shell element from MSC.MARC Mentat
- Geometrical and material nonlinearities
- Arc-length approach
- Von Mises yield criterion
- Initial imperfection in the form of a half sine curve
- Validate FEM with Lukey and Adams tests (1969)

Finite Element Analysis (Steel)

Validation (Ambient Temperature)

Finite Element Analysis (Steel) Validation (Elevated Temperature)

>Predictions of maximum moments within 2%

>Excellent agreement between test results and FE predictions due to accuracy of initial imperfection

>Slight discrepancy in response may be attributed to the differences between the stress-strain relationships proposed by EC3:1.2 and the actual stress-strain relationship

Finite Element Analysis (Steel) Parametric Study (Temperature)

>Rotational capacity reduces as temperature increases

Compare the trend of rotational capacity with the ratio of elastic modulus to yield strength at elevated temperature

$$E_T / f_{yT} = k_E E / k_y f_y$$

Finite Element Analysis (Steel) Parametric Study (Effective Length)

>Reducing the effective length increases both the maximum moment and rotational capacity

>A change of failure modes when effective length is reduced

>Improvement to the rotational capacity can be achieved by reducing the effective length for beams in which global buckling mode is observed

FE Analysis (Composite)

Overview

- Structural steel four node rectangular thick shell element
- Concrete slab 20-node iso-parametric solid element
- Reinforcement iso-parametric, three-dimensional, 20node solid element
- Adjacent top flange and concrete nodes tied together using rigid links in two global displacements
- The relative slip in x-direction between the steel beam and concrete element is governed by the load-slip relationship of shear stud connectors, and is simulated using linear springs
- Uniaxial representation of constitutive law in terms of true stress and logarithmic strain

FE Analysis (Composite)

Validation

Test	Citic	Citical Temperature Distribution (°C)							r_a	
No.	flange _{bot}	web	flange _{top}	rebar	concrete	stud	Test	FEA	Test	FEA
C1	623	634	560	113	222	320	1.091	1.129	2.11	1.60
C2	601	603	520	103	144	250	1.183	1.107	1.84	1.10
C3	515	512	427	104	169	188	1.130	1.134	1.85	1.52
C4	542	538	463	121	238	260	1.103	1.115	1.00	0.87

FE Analysis (Composite) Validation

FE Analysis (Composite) Parametric Study (Temperature)

 Proposed temperature distributions is used

>As temperature increases, rotational capacity reduces. This trend is different from that of steel beams because the strength and stiffness of the compression and tension parts of composite beams do not reduce at the same rate

At ambient temperature, the beam possesses large inelastic rotation due to a very low plastic rotation and a large ultimate rotation. The presence of strain hardening at ambient temperature also helps to delay the local buckling of flange and web, hence increasing the ductility tremendously.

Steel Beams

Moment-Rotational Relationship is divided into 3 parts

>Non-Linear Pre-Peak

Horizontal Plateau

>Unloading Region

Non-Linear Pre-Peak Region

>Rotation θ can be obtained from the integration of the curvature diagram:

$$\theta = \int_{0}^{x} \chi(x) dx$$

>Discretization of the cross-section into small elementary areas and dividing the longitudinal length into smaller sections is needed since the stress-strain relationship of steel at elevated temperature is non-linear

Steel Beams

>At a certain load, bending moment at j^{th} section is calculated

Curvature at *j*th section evaluated using both compatibility and equilibrium equations $\mathcal{E}_{i,i} = \gamma_i v_i$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i A_i y_i = M_i$

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j} = \chi_j \gamma_i \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{i} \sigma_i A_i \gamma_i$$

Rotation can be calculated from:

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^m \chi_j \Delta x$$

Steel Beams

Horizontal Plateau Region

Since the maximum moment achieved for steel beams with temperature exceeding 400° C is generally less than 10% above their plastic moment capacity, it is sufficient to use a horizontal line at the plastic moment capacity to connect the non-linear pre-peak curve with the unloading curve

>Horizontal line will begin at plastic rotation θ_p up to ultimate rotation θ_{u_i} in which the unloading curve crosses the plastic moment capacity

Unloading Region (Statistical Approach)

>Both the ultimate rotation θ_{u_i} which determines the start of unloading curve, and the unloading moment-rotation equation need to be determined

>A multi-linear regression model is used to predict the ultimate rotation

>a quadratic equation with coefficients obtained from the regression of FE's post-buckling curves is used to describe the unloading moment-rotational relationship

Steel Beams

Unloading Region

>The best regression model to predict the ultimate rotation:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\theta_u}{\theta_e}} = 8.21 - 1.26 \frac{k_y}{k_E} - 0.14 \sqrt{\frac{c}{t_f} \gamma_f} \sqrt{\frac{d}{t_w} \gamma_w} - 0.0518 \lambda_{LT} \ge \sqrt{\frac{\theta_p}{\theta_e}}$$

Recommended range of use

 $4.0 \le c/t_f \le 13.0 \qquad 24.0 \le d/t_w \le 81.0 \qquad 11.0 \le \lambda_{LT} \le 29.0$

 $400^{\circ}C \le T \le 800^{\circ}C$ 355 MPa $\le f_y \le$ 275 MPa

>The unloading moment-rotation equation is defined as

$$\frac{M}{M_{p,T}} = 1 - 0.12 \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_e} - \frac{\theta_u}{\theta_e} \right) + 0.023 \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_e} - \frac{\theta_u}{\theta_e} \right)$$

in which $\theta_u / \theta_e \le \theta / \theta_e \le \theta_u / \theta_e + 2$

Steel Beams

Validation of Design Model

Steel Beams

- Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)
 - »Based on upper bound theorem to plot the post-critical curve
 - >Very useful to determine the ultimate plastic rotation and the available rotational capacity

Steel Beams

Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

>Many different models available for steel beams at ambient temperature. The model by Gioncu & Petcu (2001) is extended to elevated temperature in this research

 Buckled shape of the compression flange is represented by a doubletriangular plastic zone and several yield lines

 Buckled shape of the web is represented by an upper triangular plastic zone connected by several yield lines to the centre of rotation "12"

 As rotation occurs, the tension zone remains in plane and experiences simple tensile inelastic deformation

Steel Beams

Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

At point of collapse (local plastic mechanism is formed), the total external virtual work done:

 $W_{ext} = \sum P_i \Delta_i$

The total internal virtual work of the mechanism:

$$W_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i} (W_{i})_{i} + \sum_{i} (W_{z})_{j}$$

 l_p

 A_{p}

in which,

$$W_{l} = \frac{t^{2} p_{y}}{4} \int f(\theta) dl \longrightarrow W_{l} = \frac{l_{p} t^{2}}{4} p_{y} \theta$$

$$W_z = tp_y \int f(\varepsilon) dA \longrightarrow W_z = A_p tp_y \varepsilon$$

Steel Beams

Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

Thus, the total internal virtual work mechanism:

$$W_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i} (l_p t p_y \theta)_i + \sum_{i} (A_p t p_y \varepsilon)_i$$

Finally, equating the internal and external works done: $M = \frac{W_{\text{int}}}{\theta}$

Steel Beams

Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

Extension of the model to elevated temperature:

 Length of mechanism slightly reduced at elevated temperature because of the disappearance of strain-hardening phenomenon which limits the spread of plasticity

-Parameter β which determines the length of mechanism modified as

 $\beta_T = 0.713 \sqrt{\frac{275}{f_{yf,c}}} \left(\frac{d}{b}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{t_f}{t_w}\right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{k_E/k_y}{0.7}\right)^{1/4}$

modifies the material coefficient of 0.713 and indicates that, as the steel grade increases the length of mechanism is reduced, which is in line with experimental and numerical evidence take into account the effect of temperature on the unloading curve and rotational capacity

Steel Beams

Validation

PCM method is best used within the limits of parameters:

 $4.0 \le (c/t_f)\gamma_f \le 10.0 \qquad 24.0 \le (d/t_w)\gamma_w \le 44.0 \qquad 11.0 \le \lambda_{LT} \le 20.0$ $((d/t_w)\gamma_w/(c/t_f)\gamma_f) \le 5.5 \qquad 400^{\circ}C \le T \le 800^{\circ}C$

Composite Beams

- The pre-peak region before buckling can be evaluated the same way as steel beams
- Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

 One additional internal work done by the plastic zone of the reinforcement has to included

This internal work done by the reinforcement can be calculated by integrating the strain energy over the deforming volume (plastic zone):

$$W_{int}^{reinf} = A_s x_p f_{yr,T} \theta$$

Composite Beams

Unloading Region (Plastic Collapse Mechanism Approach)

An empirical factor to take into account the increased portion of the web in compression is added to the length of mechanism

$$\beta_{T}^{comp} = 0.713k_{w}\sqrt{\frac{275}{f_{yf,c}}} \left(\frac{d}{b_{f}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{t_{f}}{t_{w}}\right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{k_{E}/k_{y}}{0.7}\right)$$

in which, $k_w = \frac{0.5d}{\alpha d}$ and α is the portion of the web in compression

Composite Beams

C4

3.829

Validation

4.272

1.38

1.00

1.116

Conclusions

- The ductility issue of both steel and composite beams in the hogging moment regions under fire conditions has been highlighted by 4 composite beam specimens
- Extensive numerical analysis to identify key factors affecting the local buckling and the failure patterns
- Propose a moment-rotational relationship for both steel and composite beams subjected to hogging moment at elevated temperatures
- The proposed moment-rotation design model comprises three parts: a non-linear pre-peak curve, a horizontal plateau at the plastic moment capacity and an unloading curve

Recommendations

- Additional tests on composite beams to includes beams with partial shear connections or other types of decking and shear connectors
- Study the moment-rotational relationship of the inner span of continuous beam under hogging moment, that is, thermal restraint is present
- Behaviour of steel and composite frames related to redistribution of moment during fire be studied using proposed moment-rotational relationship to incorporate the local buckling effect
- Study the behaviour of composite beams with the joints under elevated temperatures

THANK YOU

Q&A SESSION

List of Publications

- Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2005), "Alternative Approach for Lateral Torsional Buckling of Unrestrained Beams in Fire", Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Advances in Steel Structures, Shanghai, Elsevier Ltd., pp.949-957.
- Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2005), "A Numerical Study 2. of Rotational Capacity of Steel Beams in Fire", Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Advances in Steel Structures, Shanghai, Elsevier Ltd., pp.981-989.
- Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2006), "Ductility of Steel 3. and Composite Beams under Fire Conditions", Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advances in Steel and Composite Structures, Singapore, CACS, pp. 84-99.
- Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2006), "Proposed Design 4. Methods for Lateral Torsional Buckling of Unrestrained Steel Beams in Fire", accepted for publication in Journal of Constructional Steel Research.

List of Publications

- 5. Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2006), "Rotational Capacity of Steel I-Beams under Fire Conditions, Part I: Experimental Study", accepted for publication in <u>Engineering Structures</u>.
- 6. Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2006), "Rotational Capacity of Steel I-Beams under Fire Conditions, Part II: Numerical Simulations", accepted for publication in <u>Engineering</u> <u>Structures</u>.
- 7. Dharma, R. B. and Tan, K. H. (2006), "Experimental and Numerical Investigation on Ductility of Composite Beams in the Hogging Moment Regions under Fire Conditions", submitted for publication in <u>ASCE Journal of Structural</u> <u>Engineering</u>.

Literature Review of Steel Properties

Stress-Strain Relationship

Literature Review of Load-Slip Relationship of Shear Stud

Based on Zhao & Kruppa (1995) Model

	Temperature	$P_{u,T}$	k	¥ o	γ ₄	$\gamma_{\rm max}$
	(°C)	$P_{u,20^{\circ}C}$		(mm)	(mm)	(mm)
	100	1.000	0.42	0.16	3.00	11
	200	1.000	0.52	0.50	3.50	12
	300	1.000	0.58	0.90	4.50	13
t	400	0.800	0.68	1.30	5.20	14
	500	0.624	0.70	1.45	5.80	15
	600	0.376	0.72	1.48	6.25	16
	700	0.184	0.74	1.50	6.70	17
	800	0.088	0.75	1.50	7.10	18