STEEL BEAM-COLUMN UNDER THERMAL GRADIENT

Combined axial-bending capacity of steel double-T cross-sections subjected to non-uniform temperature distribution







Fig. 1: Stress-strain relationships



Assumptions

Bernoulli-Navier hypothesis (planarity of the cross-section)

 Transverse normal stresses \rightarrow negligible

Focus on the strength at a cross-section level





EC3 for T=550C

Implementation

In the region where the accumulation of the points falls outside the safety envelopes, EC appears conservative, whilst in

the opposite situation, the EC

The increase of the density of

the images of strain points in the

reduction of the stiffness of the

Collapse whenever hardening

 $\left| \frac{\partial N}{\partial \varepsilon} \to 0 \right|$

cross-section due to partial

approach appears to be

N-M space represents a

optimistic

plastification

is absent :



As the slope of the thermal gradient rises, so does the



<u>General</u>

 Several solutions using CASTEM (computer code)

Each point corresponds to a pair of strains imposed to the upper / lower fibre of the cross-section

The step used for the coverage of the strain domain is constant

 EC3 capacity envelopes according to Part 1.1 - Cl. 6.36



capacity for non-uniform temperature ($T_{max} = 600^{\circ} \text{ C}$ / $T_{min} = 500^{\circ} \text{ C}$) and EC3 capacity envelopes $(T = 550^{\circ} C - External /$ $T = 600^{\circ} C - Internal)$



Fig. 4: Normalized M-N capacity for non-uniform temperature ($T_{max} = 650^{\circ} C$ $/ T_{min} = 450^{\circ} \text{ C}$) and EC3 capacity envelopes $(T = 550^{\circ} C - External /$ $T = 650^{\circ} C - Internal)$



			-×			1 22 M
-0.5	Tmax=600C					e'
	Tmin=500C				1	
-1.0	-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.	.2 0.0	0.2 0.4	0.6	0.8 1	.0

Fig. 5: Normalized M-N capacities for non-uniform temperatures using EC3 stress-strain relationship



Fig. 6: Normalized M-N capacities for non-uniform temperatures using Ramberg-Osgood stress-strain relationship

discrepancy regions

The N-M images of the two approaches are quite similar

The increase of the density in the edges of the strain span is attributed to the difference of the two stress-strain relationships

Fig. 7: Normalized M-N capacities for non-uniform temperatures using EC3 stress-strain relationship (Normalized for $T=500^{\circ}$ C)

 Possible fire history scenario

The alteration of the region of points shows the way that the growth of the fire affects the capacity of the cross-section

CONCLUSIONS

 The region of safe operation of the cross section presents under that presence of thermal gradient shows a differentiation in shape that is not accounted for by the

 Extensive parametric research is needed in order to obtain N-M interaction safety regions for the commonly used structural steel cross sections

The absence of a distinct hardening form of the stress-strain curve at elevated temperatures requires a reconsideration of the concept of allowable stress so as to obtain the

CONTACT **Institute of Steel Structures**

Tel: +30-2310-995753







