## Preliminary structural analysis

Objective:

- design of the load-bearing structure (shape and supporting) + basic check
- design and verify dimensions of all loadbearing elements $=$ the most loaded elements


## Preliminary structural analysis

Cooperation with other designers and architect and provider

Outputs:

- drawing of the layout of load-bearing structures


## Preliminary structural analysis

- Idealisation of the structure simplifications.
- Effects of loads (M, N, V) - estimation.


## Preliminary structural analysis



## Procedure:

- from beard to bearing structures


## Cast-in-place (in- situ, monolitic) structures - beams, slabs

1. design of dimensions - empirical formulas
2. load
3. effect of loads of the most loaded member
4. check of the load-bearing capacity in bending: Check of the depth of compressed zone $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{d}$, reinforcement ratio $\rho$
5. check of the load-bearing capacity in shear : for beams - check of resistance $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Rd} \text {,max }}$ for slabs supported on columns (punching) - check of resistance $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Rd} \text {, max }}$
6. check of SLS (deflection: I/d)

Very thin members may require detailed calculation of deflection and crack width in prelim. design

| GIRDERS |  | depth | width |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| simply supported and continuous beams |  |  |  |
|  | conventional | $(1 / 15-1 / 8) /$ | $(0,33-0,4) h$ |
|  | roof | $(1 / 17-1 / 12) /$ | $(0,33-0,4) h$ |
| cantilever beams conventional |  |  |  |
|  | roof | $1 / 5 /$ | $(0,33-0,4) h$ |

- Check:
$\xrightarrow[\text { Deflection control }]{\text {-ULS }}$
$\lambda=1 / d$
Important for slabs
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## Loads of the slab

|  | characteristic <br> $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\gamma_{\mathrm{F}}$ | design <br> $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permanent |  |  |  |
| floor | 3,13 |  |  |
| self weight of the slab $0,16 \mathrm{~m} .25 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 4,00 |  |  |
| Permanent load | $g_{k}=7,13$ | 1,35 | $g_{d}=9,63$ |
| Variable load | $q_{k}=4,5$ | 1,5 | $q_{d}=6,75$ |
| Total | $(g+q)_{k}=11,63$ | $(g+q)_{d}=16,38$ |  |

Estimation is possible, if the proper values are not known yet.

## 2

## Load of a beam

tributing sripe $4 m$

UDL

|  |  | characteristic <br> kN/m | $\gamma_{F}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { design } \\ \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permanent |  |  |  |  |
| load from the slab | $4 \mathrm{~m} .7,13 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 46,52 |  |  |
| self weight of the beam | 0,25m. $0,5 \mathrm{~m} .25 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 3,13 |  |  |
| Permanent load |  | $g_{k}=49,65$ | 1,35 | $g_{d}=67,03$ |
| Variable load |  |  |  |  |
| Variable from the slab | $4 \mathrm{~m} .4,5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $q_{k}=18$ | 1,5 | $q_{d}=27$ |
| Total |  | $(g+q)_{k}=46,47$ |  | $\left.{ }^{+}+q\right)_{d}=94,03$ |
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## Load for column
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$$
M=(1 / 10 \text { resp. } 1 / 12) f l^{2}
$$



- Load from slabs supported on 4 sides - re-calculate: UDL.
- Usually only 1 load case

4 check of the load-bearing capacity in bending
= verifying that the dimensions of the member are sufficient and the reinforcement could be later designed.
It is not necessary to design number and diameter of bars; check may be performed with help of tables of required area of reinforcement $A_{\mathrm{s}, \text { req }}$
$\xi \leq \xi_{\text {max }}$
event. calculate $\rho$

## With tables: $\max M_{\mathrm{Ed}} \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow \xi \leq \xi_{\max }$



| $\mu$ | (b) | $\xi$ | $\zeta$ | $E_{S l}$ | Ec | 0,05 | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_{\mathrm{s} 2} \mathrm{p} \\ & 0,1 \end{aligned}$ | /d 0,15 | 0,2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.010 | 0.0101 | 0.013 | 0.995 | 275.093 | -3.500 | 10.430 | 24.359 | 38.289 | 52.219 |
| 0.020 | 0.0202 | 0.025 | 0.990 | 135.086 | -3.500 | 3.429 | 10.359 | 17.288 | 24.217 |
| 0,030 | 0,0305 | 0,038 | 0,985 | 88,412 | -3,500 | 1,096 | 5,691 | 10,287 | 14,882 |
| 0.040 | 0.0408 | 0.051 | 0.980 | 65.071 | -3.500 | -0.071 | 3.357 | 6.786 | 10.214 |
| 0,050 | 0,0513 | 0,064 | 0,974 | 51,063 | $\xi<\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ |  | $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ |  | 7,413 |
| 0.060 | 0.0619 | 0.077 | 0.969 | 41.722 |  |  | 5.544 |
| 0.070 | 0.0726 | 0.091 | 0.964 | 35.047 |  |  | 4.209 |
| 0.080 | 0.0835 | 0.104 | 0.958 | 30.039 |  |  | 3.208 |
| 0.090 | 0.0945 | 0.118 | 0.953 | 26.142 |  |  | 2.428 |
| 0.100 | 0.1056 | 0.132 | 0.947 | 23.022 |  |  | 1.804 |
| 0.110 | 0.117 | 0.146 | 0.942 | 20.468 | -3.500 | -2.302 |  |  | -1.103 | 0.095 | 1.294 |
| 0,120 | 0,128 | 0,160 | 0,936 | 18,337 | -3,500 | -2,408 |  |  | -1,316 | -0,224 | 0,867 |
| 0.130 | 0.140 | 0.175 | 0.930 | 16.533 | -3.500 | -2,498 |  |  | -1.497 | -0.495 | 0.507 |
| 0,140 | 0,151 | 0,189 | 0,924 | 14,985 | -3,500 | -2,576 |  |  | -1,651 | -0,727 | 0,197 |
| 0.150 | 0.163 | 0.204 | 0.918 | 13.642 | -3.500 | -2.643 |  |  | -1.786 | -0.929 | -0.072 |

## Without table:

$\max M_{\mathrm{Ed}} \rightarrow$ design of reinforcement
(estimate $z \rightarrow A_{\mathrm{s} \text {,req }} \rightarrow x \rightarrow \xi \leq \xi_{\max }$, event check of $\rho$ )

## Alternatively:

$\underset{\rightarrow}{\xi_{\text {opt }}}=0,25-0,3$ (for beam) $\rightarrow$ from the table: $\mu$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & =\sqrt{ } \ldots \ldots \rightarrow h \\
\mu & =\frac{M}{b d^{2} \alpha f_{c d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

# 4 check of the load-bearing capacity in shear 

## = check of „compressed diagonals"

$\max \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Ed}} \leq \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Rd}, \max }$

## 6 Check of SLS

deflection - important especially for slabs

$$
\left\|\| \boldsymbol{d} \leq \lambda_{\text {lim }}\right.
$$

## Cast-in-place (in- situ, monolithic) structures - columns

Moments are usually neglected and the member is designed just with respect to compressive force.

Assumption: $\rho=1,5 \sim 2 \%$

Very slender columns or combination of N and high M - calculation with respect to $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{M}$

## Structural analysis form

## well-arranged, logical, legible

- use one side of the sheet of paper only
- number of pages -
- all calculations in the analysis, notes, explanations
- formula - introduction - result
- units
- sketches, figures
- state Code used for analysis


## Drawing of the layout of loadbearing structure

- drawings of general arrangement
- assembly drawings



UPOZORNENI:
NEDITNOU SOUCÁSTÍ VYKKRESU JE
IPODKLAD PRONAVRHOVANI POROTHERM'
A STATICKY VYPOCET, S KONSTRUKCNIMI
DETAILY A SCHEMATY

## BETON C16/20 <br> OCEL 10505 ( $\phi$ R)

STROPNI' NOSNIKY
(1) POT 575/902, KS 13
(2) POT $625 / 902, \mathrm{KS} 11$
(3) POT $350 / 902, \mathrm{KS} 3$

STROPNI' VLOEKKY :
MIAKO 19/62,5 PTH, KS 410
MIAKO 8/62,5 PTH , KS 10ZDIVO POROTHERM 44 P+D1 P10 + MVC 5
ZDIVO CP $(290 / 140 / 65), P 15+M C 10$


