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## 1 TASK

A fire safety verification has to be done for a composite beam of an office building. It is a simply supported beam and is loaded uniformly. The concrete slab of the composite beam protects the beam from the top in the fire situation, so the steel beam is exposed to fire on three sides. For fire protection of the steel beam a contour encasement of plaster is chosen. The required standard fire resistance class for the beam is R 60 .


Figure 1. Static system


Figure 2. Cross-section

Material properties:
Beam:
Profile: rolled section HE 160 B
Steel grade:
Height:
Height of web:
Width:

S 355
$h=160 \mathrm{~mm}$
$h_{w}=134 \mathrm{~mm}$
$b=b_{1}=b_{2}=160 \mathrm{~mm}$

Thickness of web: $\quad e_{w}=8 \mathrm{~mm}$
Thickness of flange: $\quad e_{f}=e_{1}=e_{2}=13 \mathrm{~mm}$
Cross-sectional area: $\quad A_{a}=5430 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
Yield stress: $\quad f_{y, a}=355 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
Slab:
Strength category
C 25/30
Height:
$h_{c}=160 \mathrm{~mm}$
Effective width:
$b_{\text {eff }}=1400 \mathrm{~mm}$
Compression strength:
$f_{c}=25 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
Elastic modulus: $\quad E_{c m}=29,000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
Shear connectors:
Quantity: $\quad n=34$ (equidistant)
Diameter: $\quad d=22 \mathrm{~mm}$
Tensile strength: $\quad f_{u}=500 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
Encasement:
Material: plaster
Thickness: $\quad d_{p}=15 \mathrm{~mm}$ (contour encasement)
Thermal conductivity: $\lambda_{p}=0.12 \mathrm{~W} /(\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
Specific heat: $\quad c_{p}=1100 \mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{K})$
Density: $\quad \rho_{p}=550 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Loads:
Permanent loads:
Self weight:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k} & =20.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} \\
g_{k} & =7.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} \\
p_{k} & =15.0 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finishing load:
Variable loads:
Live load:

## 2 FIRE RESISTANCE OF A COMPOSITE BEAM

### 2.1 Mechanical actions during fire exposure

Actions on structures from fire exposure are classified as accidental situation:

$$
E_{d A}=E\left(\sum G_{k}+A_{d}+\sum \psi_{2, i} \cdot Q_{k, i}\right)
$$

The partial safety factor $\gamma_{G A}$ for the accidental situation is $\gamma_{G A}=1.0$. The combination factor for the leading variable action for office buildings is set to $\psi_{2,1}=0.3$.

With these parameters, the design bending moment during fire exposure can be calculated:

$$
M_{f i, d}=(20.5+7.5)+0.3 \cdot(15.0) \cdot \frac{5.6^{2}}{8}=127.4 \mathrm{kNm}
$$

### 2.2 Calculation of the temperatures in the cross-section

## EN 1991-1-2

For the calculation of the temperatures, the cross-section is split into different sections. These are the concrete slab, the upper flange, the web and the lower flange. It is done according to Section 4.3.4.2 of EN 1994-1-2.

The temperatures of the upper flange, the web and the lower flange are determined by using the Euro-Nomogram ("Euro-Nomogram", ECCS No.89, 1996). Therefore, the section factors of these parts are required.

Lower flange:

$$
\left(\frac{A_{p}}{V}\right)_{l}=\frac{2 \cdot\left(b_{1}+e_{1}\right)}{b_{1} \cdot e_{1}}=\frac{2 \cdot(0.16+0.013)}{0.16 \cdot 0.013}=166.3 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}
$$

Web:

$$
\left(\frac{A_{p}}{V}\right)_{w}=\frac{2 \cdot\left(h_{w}\right)}{h_{w} \cdot e_{w}}=\frac{2 \cdot(0.134)}{0.134 \cdot 0.008}=250.0 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}
$$

Upper flange (more than $85 \%$ of the upper flange is in contact with the concrete slab):

$$
\left(\frac{A_{p}}{V}\right)_{u}=\frac{\left(b_{2}+2 \cdot e_{2}\right)}{b_{2} \cdot e_{2}}=\frac{(0.16+2 \cdot 0.013)}{0.16 \cdot 0.013}=89.4 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}
$$

The temperatures are determined to:

Table 1. Temperatures of upper flange, web and lower flange

$$
\left(\frac{A_{p}}{V}\right)_{i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{p}}{d_{p}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~K}}\right] \quad \theta_{a, \max , 60}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]
$$

| Upper flange | 715 | 390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Web | 2000 | 650 |
| Lower flange | 1330 | 550 |

The temperature of the concrete slab is not constant over its thickness. Therefore the compression strength varies over the thickness. For temperatures lower than $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the compression strength is not reduced. Above $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it is reduced by the reduction factor $k_{c, \theta}$. Assessment of the temperatures may be done in layers of 10 mm thickness on basis of Table 2.

Table 2. Temperature distribution in a solid slab of 100 mm thickness composed of normal weight concrete and not insulated (see EN 1994-1-2, Annex D.3, Table D.5)
Depth Temperature $q_{c}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ after a fire duration in min

| $x$ <br> $[\mathrm{~mm}$ ] | $30^{\prime}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $90^{\prime}$ | $120^{\prime}$ | $180^{\prime}$ | $240^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 535 | 705 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 470 | 642 | 738 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 415 | 581 | 681 | 754 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 350 | 525 | 627 | 697 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 300 | 469 | 571 | 642 | 738 |  | $*$ |
| 30 | 250 | 421 | 519 | 591 | 689 | 740 |  |
| 35 | 210 | 374 | 473 | 542 | 635 | 700 | $h_{c}$ |
| 40 | 180 | 327 | 428 | 493 | 590 | 670 |  |
| 45 | 160 | 289 | 387 | 454 | 549 | 645 |  |
| 50 | 140 | 250 | 345 | 415 | 508 | 550 | $\sim$ |
| 55 | 125 | 200 | 294 | 369 | 469 | 520 |  |
| 60 | 110 | 175 | 271 | 342 | 430 | 495 |  |
| 80 | 80 | 140 | 220 | 270 | 330 | 395 |  |
| 100 | 60 | 100 | 160 | 210 | 260 | 305 |  |

## ECCS No. 89

EN 1994-1-2
Section D. 3

### 2.3 Verification using simple calculation model

The composite beam is verified by the simple calculation model. It is done in the strength domain. The calculation of the moment resistance is accomplished according to Annex E.


Figure 3. Calculation of the moment resistance

The temperatures of the parts of the steel beam were determined in Section 3.2. The reduction factors $k_{y, \theta, i}$ for the calculation of the yield stresses at elevated temperatures, are given in Table 3.2 of EN 1994-1-2, Section 3.2.1.

Table 3. Calculation of the reduced yield stresses

|  | $\theta_{a, \text { max }, 60}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $k_{v, \theta}[-]$ | $f_{a v, \theta}\left[\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper flange | 390 | 1.00 | 35.5 |
| Web | 650 | $(0.47+0.23) / 2=0.35$ | 12.4 |
| Lower flange | 550 | $(0.78+0.47) / 2=0.625$ | 22.2 |

The next step is the calculation of the tensile force $T$ of the steel beam according to Figure 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =\frac{f_{a y, \theta 1} \cdot\left(b \cdot e_{f}\right)+f_{a y, \theta w} \cdot\left(h_{w} \cdot e_{w}\right)+f_{a y, \theta 2} \cdot\left(b \cdot e_{f}\right)}{\gamma_{M, f i, a}} \\
& =\frac{22.2 \cdot(16 \cdot 1.3)+12.4 \cdot(13.4 \cdot 0.8)+35.5 \cdot(16 \cdot 1.3)}{1.0} \\
& =1333.1 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

The location of the tensile force is determined to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{T} & =\frac{f_{a y, \theta l} \cdot\left(b \cdot \frac{e_{f}^{2}}{2}\right)+f_{a y, \theta w} \cdot\left(h_{w} \cdot e_{w}\right) \cdot\left(e_{f}+\frac{h_{w}}{2}\right)+f_{a y, \theta 2} \cdot\left(b \cdot e_{f}\right)\left(h-\frac{e_{f}}{2}\right)}{T \cdot \gamma_{M, f, a}} \\
& =\frac{22.2 \cdot\left(16 \cdot \frac{1.3^{2}}{2}\right)+12.4 \cdot(13.4 \cdot 0.8) \cdot\left(1.3+\frac{13.4}{2}\right)+35.5 \cdot(16 \cdot 1.3) \cdot\left(16-\frac{1.3}{2}\right)}{1333.1 \cdot 1.0} \\
& =9.53 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a simply supported beam, the value of the tensile force $T$ is limited by:

$$
T \leq N \cdot P_{f i, R d}
$$

where:
$N \quad$ Number of shear connectors in one of the critical lengths of the beam
$P_{f i, R d} \quad$ Design resistance in the fire situation of a shear connector
To get $P_{f i, R d,}$, the reduction factors $k_{u, \theta}$ and $k_{c, \theta}$ (Table 5) as well as the design resistances of a shear connector at ambient temperatures $P_{R d, 1}$ and $P_{R d, 2}$ are needed.

The temperatures for getting the reduction factors are determined as $80 \%$ (stud connector) and 40 \% (concrete) of the steel flange (see EN 1994 Part 12, Section 4.3.4.2.5 (2)). The reduction factor for the tensile strength of the stud connector is given it Table 3.2 of EN 1994-1-2, Section 3.2.1. The effect of strain hardening $\left(k_{u, \theta}>1\right)$ should only be accounted, if it is proven that local failures (i.e. local buckling, shear failure, concrete spalling, etc) do not occur. So in this case the strain hardening is not considered. The reduction factor for the compression strength of the concrete is given in Table 3.3 of EN 1994-1-2, Section 3.2.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{v}=0.8 \cdot 390=312^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad k_{u, \theta}=1.0 \\
& \theta_{c}=0.4 \cdot 390=156^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad k_{c, \theta}=0.98
\end{aligned}
$$

The design resistances of the shear connector are calculated according to EN 1994-1-1, with the partial safety factor $\gamma_{M, f i, v}$ replacing $\gamma_{v}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{R d, l}=0.8 \cdot \frac{f_{u}}{\gamma_{M, f i, v}} \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot d^{2}}{4}=0.8 \cdot \frac{50.0}{1.0} \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot 2.2^{2}}{4}=152 \mathrm{kN} \\
& P_{R d, 2}=0.29 \cdot \alpha \cdot d^{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{f_{c} \cdot E_{c m}}}{\gamma_{M, f i, v}}=0.29 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 2.2^{2} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{2.5 \cdot 2900}}{1.0}=120 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

The design resistance in the fire situation of a shear connector is:

$$
P_{f i, R d}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{f i, R d, l}=0.8 \cdot k_{u, \theta} \cdot P_{R d, l}=0.8 \cdot 1.0 \cdot 152=121.6 \mathrm{kN} \\
P_{f, R d, 2}=k_{c, \theta} \cdot P_{R d, 2}=0.98 \cdot 120=117.6 \mathrm{kN} \quad \leftarrow \text { relevant }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For equilibrium of forces, the compression force has to be equal to the tension force. Therefore the thickness of the compressive zone $h_{u}$ is determined to:

$$
h_{u}=\frac{T}{b_{e f f} \cdot f_{c} / \gamma_{M, f i, c}}=\frac{1333.1}{140.0 \cdot 2.5 / 1.0}=3.8 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Now, two situations may occur. The first one is that the temperature in every layer of the concrete in the compression zone is lower than $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the second situation the temperature of some layers of the concrete is above 250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To check which situation occurs, following calculation has to be done:

$$
\left(h_{c}-h_{u}\right)=16-3.8=12.2 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

EN 1994-1-2

Section 4.3.4.2

Section E. 1

## EN 1994-1-1

Section 6.6.3.1

If the result of this equation is greater than the depth $x$ according to Table 2, corresponding to a concrete temperature below $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the concrete in the compression zone may not be reduced.

$$
h_{c r}=x=5.0 \mathrm{~cm}<12.2 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

The point of application of the compression force $y_{F}$ is determined to:

$$
y_{F}=h+h_{c}-\left(h_{u} / 2\right)=16+16-(3.8 / 2)=30.1 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

The moment resistance is calculated to:

$$
M_{f i, R d}=T \cdot\left(y_{F}-y_{T}\right)=1333.1 \cdot(30.1-9.53) \cdot 10^{-2}=274.2 \mathrm{kNm}
$$

Verification:

$$
127.4 / 274.2=0.46<1
$$
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